1. (a) (5 points) We know that that all NP-complete problems reduce to each other. It would be nice if this meant that an approximation for one NP-hard problem would lead to another, but this is not the case. Consider the case of Minimum Vertex Cover, for which we have a 2-approximation; that is, we can find a vertex cover of size within a factor of 2 of optimal. A set \( C \) is a vertex cover in a graph \( G = (V, E) \) if and only if \( V - C \) is an independent set in \( V \). Explain why this does not yield an approximation algorithm that is within a constant factor of optimal for Maximum Independent Set. That is, show that for every constant \( c > 1 \), there exists a graph and a 2-approximation of its Minimum Vertex Cover such that the corresponding independent set is not within a factor of \( c \) of the Maximum Independent Set.

(b) (10 points) Prove that it’s NP-hard to approximate the size of the maximum independent set in a graph to within 124 vertices.

(Hint: Reduce from the problem of finding a maximum-size independent set in a graph \( G \), which is NP-hard. Consider a graph \( G' \) that’s many disjoint copies of \( G \) (that is, no edges between the copies).)

(c) (15 points) Prove that if there exists a polynomial time algorithm for approximating the maximum independent set in a graph \( G \) to within a factor of 2, then for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there is a polynomial time algorithm for approximating the maximum independent set in a graph \( G' \) to within a factor of \( (1 + \varepsilon) \). The degree of the polynomial may depend on \( \varepsilon \).

(Hint: for a starting graph \( G = (V, E) \), consider the graph \( G \times G = (V', E') \), where the vertex set \( V' \) of \( G \times G \) is the set of ordered pairs \( V' = V \times V \), and \( \{(u, v), (w, x)\} \in E' \) if and only if
\[ \{u, w\} \in E \text{ or } \{v, x\} \in E. \]

If \( G \) has an independent set of size \( k \), then how large an independent set does \( G' \) have?)

2. Consider the problem MAX-\( k \)-CUT, which is like the MAX CUT algorithm, except that we partition the vertices into \( k \) disjoint sets, and we want to maximize the number of edges between sets.

(a) (10 points) Give a deterministic algorithm that finds a partition within a factor of \( 1 - \frac{1}{k} \) of optimal.
(b) **(5 points)** Give a randomized algorithm that’s a generalization of the randomized algorithm for MAX CUT from class that finds a partition that, in expectation, is within a factor of $1 - \frac{1}{k}$ of optimal.

3. We consider the following scheduling problem, similar to one that we studied before: we have two machines, and a set of jobs $j_1, j_2, j_3, \ldots, j_n$ that we have to process. We place a subset of the jobs on each machine. Each job $j_i$ has an associated running time $r_i$. The load on the machine is the sum of the running times of the jobs placed on it. The goal is to minimize the completion time, sometimes called the makespan, which is the maximum load over all machines.

Consider the following local search algorithm. Start with any arbitrary assignment of jobs to machines. We then repeatedly swap a single job from one machine to another, if that swap will strictly reduce the completion time. (We won’t make a move if the completion time stays the same, and only one job moves in each swap.) If a swap is not possible, we are in a stable state. For example, suppose we had jobs with running times 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, and we started with the jobs with running times 1, 2, and 3 on machine 1, and the jobs with running times 4 and 5 on machine 2. This is a stable state, but it is not optimal; the minimum possible completion time is 8, and this stable state has completion time 9.

(a) **(5 points)** Prove that the local search algorithm eventually terminates in a stable state (as opposed to running forever).

(b) **(15 points)** Prove that for any assignment on which the local search algorithm terminates, the completion time is within a factor of $4/3$ of the optimal.

**(Hint:** One approach is to prove by contradiction. Suppose that you reached a stable state whose completion time was not within a factor of $4/3$ of the optimal. What can you derive from this assumption?)

4. **(0 points, optional)** Consider the following scheduling problem, similar to one in the comic below. The input is a set of people, a list of subsets of people (“events”), and a nonnegative integer $k$. The answer is yes if it’s possible to assign to each event a positive integer (“day”) at most $k$ such that no person is double-booked: that is, intersecting events are assigned distinct numbers. (In the Figure below, each event is assigned a different day, but, e.g., games and a movie could be scheduled simultaneously.) (Vaccine timings aren’t part of our scheduling problem, because our scheduling problem is already NP-hard without them.) Prove that this scheduling problem is NP-complete.

![Figure 1: “As if these problems weren’t NP-hard enough.”](image)
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1. We won’t use this question for grades. Try it if you’re interested. It may be used for recommendations/TF hiring.
2. From xkcd, CC BY-NC 2.5 license.